

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,964	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles D. Adams	2164	

All Participants:

(1) Charles D. Adams.

(2) Cam Y Truong.

Status of Application: _____

(3) David Moore (Reg. Num. 59047)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 November 2007

Time: 3:00-3:30

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a) *Brown et. al in view of Yeung et al.*

Claims discussed:

1, 3-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Brown et al., Yeung et al.


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner clarified the rejection, and further explained his interpretation of the prior art. Applicant's Representative further described Applicant's invention, whereupon Applicant's Representative and the Examiner discussed possible claim amendments.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)